Detailed classification rules

- 1. The conversion of the grade from the diploma of graduation will be made in accordance with the rules set out below.
 - 1.1. For a rating scale with six levels:

Level on a rating scale	The grade entered on the diploma of graduation	Grade Transcript of Records	d - number of points
highest	excellent or equivalent	A+ (excellent+)	30
	very good or equivalent	A (excellent)	27
	above good, good plus, plus good or equivalent	B (very good)	25,2
	good or equivalent	C (good)	22,8
	quite good, sufficient plus, plus sufficient, above sufficient or equivalent	D (satisfactory)	20,4
lowest positive	sufficient or equivalent	E (sufficient)	18

1.2. For a rating scale with five levels:

Level on a rating scale	The grade entered on the diploma of graduation	Grade Transcript of Records	d - number of points
highest	very good or equivalent	A (excellent)	30
	above good, good plus, plus good or equivalent	B (very good)	27
	good or equivalent	C (good)	24
	quite good, sufficient plus, plus sufficient, above sufficient or equivalent	D (satisfactory)	21
lowest positive	sufficient or equivalent	E (sufficient)	18

1.3 If the scale of grades in force at the university which issued the diploma differs from the above, the numerical value d will be calculated as follows:

$$d = k \times 30$$

k – the quotient of the grade from the university diploma of graduation over the maximum grade in force at the university which issued the diploma.

1.4. In the case where there is no grade in the diploma of graduation of second-cycle studies, long-cycle Master's studies or equivalent, and in the case of persons referred to the IDS TUL by the decision of the Discipline Council pursuing long-cycle Master's studies, the numerical value d will be calculated as follows:

$$d = k \times 30$$

- k the quotient of the weighted average of all grades obtained in the course of second-cycle studies, long-cycle Master's studies or equivalent by the maximum grade in force at the university which issued the diploma or at which the applicant is studying
- 1.5. Winners of the Diamond Grant competition and applicants who have received the "Minister's Scholarship for students for significant achievements" receive the maximum number of points in this category (30 points).
- 2. The result (r) of the interview consists of grades in the following categories:
 - I. Motivation and interests, and proposed scope of research (0-45 points) i.e.:
 - 1) presentation (0 10 points);
 - 2) ability to discuss and argue a point with the use of scientific language (0 10 points);
 - 3) proposed scope and topic of research (0 12 points);
 - 4) originality or innovation of the undertaken research (0 13 points).

Winners of the "Diamond Grant" competition and applicants who have received the "Minister's Scholarship for students for significant achievements" receive the maximum number of points in this category.

- II. Assessment of language skills (0 10 points).
- III. Evaluation of the applicant's past scientific and/or artistic achievements and contributions related to the discipline (0 15 points). The best five achievements are assessed in the following categories:
 - articles published in scientific journals or reviewed materials from international conferences included in the list drawn up in accordance with the regulations issued on the basis of art. 267 (2)(2) (b) of the Act of 20 July 2018 Law on Higher Education and Science, publications outside the list of 0 points; the number of individual points obtained by the applicant for publication is equal to the number of points from the above-mentioned a list divided by the number of authors of the work;
 - 2) patents granted by the Patent Office of the Republic of Poland or abroad in countries belonging to the OECD in a procedure in accordance with the Patent Cooperation Treaty (Patent Cooperation Treaty) or by the European Patent Office; the number of individual points awarded for a patent is equal to the product of the maximum number of individual points for the granted patent (75 points) and the creator's percentage share (maximum one achievement from this category can be shown);
 - 3) a grant within the meaning of a subsidy received from state scientific institutions (NCN, NCBiR, FNP, etc.), from European and international institutions (EU, WHO, etc.) for carrying out a specific research project; the number of individual points a applicant obtains for a grant is 100 points (maximum one achievement in this category can be shown);
 - 4) active participation in an international or national conference/exhibition; the number of individual points awarded for active participation in an international conference/exhibition is 10 individual points, in a national conference/exhibition 5 individual points (a maximum of one achievement in this category may be shown).

An applicant may be awarded a maximum of 15 points for his/her academic and/or artistic achievements and past contributions. The maximum number of points shall be awarded to a applicant who has obtained at least 100 individual points according to the above list; other applicants shall be awarded points according to the proportional conversion formula:

$$o = \frac{oc \times 15}{100}$$

- o the number of points obtained by the applicant for his/her academic and/or artistic record and achievements in the discipline concerned,
- oc the proportion of points obtained by the applicant for his/her academic and/or artistic record and achievements in the discipline concerned.
- 3. The final result of the interview is equal to the sum of points obtained in point 2.

- 4. Only applicants who have obtained at least 40 points from the interview, including at least 5 points in Category II, otherwise the interview result is zero, will proceed to further admission process.
- 5. Only applicants who obtained a grade greater than zero from the interview will proceed to further admission process.
- 6. A applicant who has achieved a total of at least 70 points in the admission procedure may be admitted to IDS

Description of requirements for supervisors:

The Discipline Council proposes a list of supervisors from among the employees of the University (including those other than TUL) from the research and teaching or research group only, emphasising the scientific achievements of potential supervisors obtained within the last four years. Only an employee of the University who:

1) has obtained at least B+*) in the last 4 years in accordance with the guidelines contained in the "Research part" of the Ordinance No. 79/2019 of the Rector of Lodz University of Technology of 23 December 2019 on the criteria for periodic evaluation for individual groups of employees and types of positions and the procedure and the entity performing periodic appraisal of academic teachers employed at Lodz University of Technology,

or

2) is the Project Manager financed from external sources with a budget greater than PLN 100,000 and has had in the last 4 years at least 4 publications with a score of at least 40 points each in journals included in the ministerial list of scientific journals (all disciplines) or reviewed materials from international conferences (the discipline of technical informatics and telecommunications) as an author or co-author,

may apply for the post of doctoral candidate supervisor.

For publications from 2017-2018, the number of points is determined on the basis of the "Four-year list – part A of the list" from the "Announcement of the Minister of Science and Higher Education of 25 January 2017 on the list of scientific journals with the number of points awarded for scientific publications in these journals, determined on the basis of the lists announced in 2013-2016", and then multiplied by 4. For publications published or accepted for publication from 2019 onwards, the list drawn up in accordance with the regulations issued pursuant to Article 267(2)(2)(b) of the Act of 20 July 2018 shall apply – Law on Higher Education and Science.